E-Pharmacy Publication Guidelines and Plans

Agreed via discussion with Management Team – DATE

Updated:

Scope

Types of output :

- written reports and papers
- presentations e.g. conferences and seminars
- other outputs e.g. policy briefs etc
- at either a country, or cross-country level

Arising from:

- E-pharmacy HSRI grant
- (may also record outputs from related activities where helpful for clarity)

Authorship Principles

- The list of likely outputs (see slides below) should be discussed as a WP team, and with the Management Team, and will be updated regularly
- Authorship should recognise appropriately and inclusively the inputs of all team members involved, and be agreed based on our inclusion criteria (see next slide)
- Every team member should not expect to be an author on every paper - named authors need to meet criteria
- The first author will normally have a major role in the work presented, and take the lead in drafting the paper, and be the guarantor of the work. They should seek input and comment from other authors on their draft, then revise and submit the paper, and take the lead on co-ordinating the team's response to the referee's comments
- First author roles should be shared among the team members, including giving opportunities for early career researchers
- Last author should have the largest role in overall leadership for and supervision of the work in the paper. This will also be shared among senior team members as appropriate
- All papers / abstracts / outputs should be reviewed by three institution leads prior to submission
- All team members should feel free to raise any concerns about authorship with any member of the Management Team

Criteria for Inclusion as Authors

ICMJE

4 criteria each author should meet:

- Significant involvement in study conception/design, data collection, or data analysis/interpretation;
- Involvement in drafting or revising manuscript;
- Approval of final version of manuscript for publication; and
- Responsibility for accuracy and integrity of all aspects of research.

BSA

1. Every author should have made a substantial direct academic contribution (i.e. intellectual responsibility and substantive work) to at least two of the four main components of a typical scientific project or paper:
   - Conception or design
   - Data collection and processing
   - Analysis and interpretation of the data
   - Writing substantial sections of the paper (e.g. synthesising findings in the liter review or the findings/results section)
2. Every author should have critically reviewed successive drafts of the paper and should approve the final version
3. Every author should be able to defend the paper as a whole (although not necessarily all the technical details)

LIST OF LIKELY OUTPUTS

Overarching

Title / topic	Journal(s)/ Conference etc	First author	Other authors
When technology precedes regulation: the challenges and opportunities of e-pharmacy in LMIC	BMJ GH - submitted	Miller	Wafula, Onoka, Saligram, Musiega, Ogira, Ikedichi Okpani, Ejughemre, Murthy, Garimella, Sanderson, Ettelt, Allen, Nambiar, Salam, Kweyu, Hanson, Goodman

WP1

Title / topic	Journal(s)/ Conference etc	First author	Other authors

WP2

Title / topic	Journal(s)/ Conference etc	First author	Other authors

WP3

Title / topic	Journal(s)/ Conference etc	First author	Other authors

WP4

Title / topic	Journal(s)/ Conference etc	First author	Other authors